

OBJECTIONS / COMMENTS TO ADVERTISED TRAFFIC REGULATION ORDER – ANNEX L

Scheme:	BRACKNELL FOREST BOROUGH COUNCIL (WAITING RESTRICTION AND PERMIT PARKING) ORDER 2014		
	Outside Residents Parking area		
Date Advertised:	10th April 2014	No. of Objections /Comments Received:	4 objections

Objector	Summary of Objection / Comment	Officers Comments	Decision Abandon/Modify/ Proceed as advertised.
Mr [REDACTED] [REDACTED] Bay Road	<p>Mr [REDACTED] states that he has vehicles which are always parked on Deepfield Road adjacent to Bay Road and has nowhere else to park these Vehicles. Given the restrictions on Bay Road this is the only place they can be safely parked.</p> <p>Mr [REDACTED] believes the Council is creating a massive issue given that most houses on Deepfield Road already have off-road parking and probably do not need permits, he will have to park his vehicles on the grass area in front of his house and given that he has already asked for that area to be made into parking, a request to which the Council has previously replied that they have no finance for. Mr [REDACTED] finds it hard to understand how the Council can justify this proposal without providing additional parking for those who will be massively disadvantaged by the proposed changes.</p> <p>Houses on Deepfield Road originally built by the Council have drives provided by the Council, no allocation or driveway was provided for the property that Mr [REDACTED] lives in. Mr [REDACTED] would like to know what the Council are going to do for him, given that there are obviously funds available to provide and install an enforced Parking Permit Zone in an area that does not require it, but no funds to sort out an already problematic issue. Mr [REDACTED] needs to know where he can park his vehicles without being in breach of this proposal.</p>	<p>The parking of vehicles on highway verge and public footway is not an activity the Council can promote, however if a vehicle is parked in these locations whilst displaying a valid permit the Council will not be in a position to issue a penalty charge notice. However, Thames Valley Police will continue to have the powers to enforce obstruction offenses and could ticket or remove any vehicle if they consider it necessary to do so. The additional provision of parking spaces is something the Council is currently consulting upon with Local Members. Members have been asked for a priority list of where additional parking measures would be of most benefit and Councils funds, together with Bracknell Forest Homes money has been allocated for this purpose. Unfortunately it is not always possible to construct additional parking spaces in all locations identified due to the lack of available space, the location of underground services and the potential damage to tree roots.</p>	Proceed as advertised
Mr [REDACTED] [REDACTED] Anneforde Place	<p>Mr [REDACTED] objects to the proposal if there is a charge for the permits. He believes that parking permits cause people to convert their front gardens into car parking. He also believes that if on-street parking is not available to non-residents, residents may convert their garden into parking to profit from private parking rental. Several websites manage this service. He claims that where garden conversion is constructed with a waterproof surface such as tarmac, it increases flash flood runoff and causes flood risk for other Bracknell residents in these flash floods. Conversion of gardens to parking in this way reduces support for wildlife, especially bees. If the</p>	<p>The Council have a policy to approve off road parking where possible to reduce the pressures of on street parking. With regard to the potential for additional surface water run off, the introduction of any non-porous hard standing with a surface area of 5m2 or greater that discharges onto the public highway requires planning approval and is managed in this manner.</p>	Proceed as advertised

	<p>Council can commit to ensuring front garden conversions for parking is done in a way that prevents flash flood runoff & supports wildlife, Mr [REDACTED] withdraws his objection. But the Council has failed to do this so far.</p>		
<p>Mrs [REDACTED] [REDACTED] Kennel Lane</p>	<p>Mrs [REDACTED] lives in Kennel Lane and claims that parking is currently very difficult. People park outside her door and then walk into Bracknell town centre. When she receives visitors they have to park near the Church in Shepherds Lane and then have to walk back to her house.</p> <p>Mrs [REDACTED] neighbours have more than one car and sometimes park on the grass verges. This trial will mean that even more visitors will park in Kennel Lane once permits become necessary for Shepherds Lane. There are 4 parking spaces outside her door. Her neighbour has 2 cars, her neighbours have 2 cars and their neighbours have 2 cars. This is unsustainable and something has to be done. Neighbours across the street all have 2 cars(some with off road parking) but they still continue to park on the road.</p>	<p>Kennel Lane falls outside the area selected for the two year trial and so will not be included within the Residents Parking Scheme. However the properties within Shepherds Lane in this location also fall outside of Zone B and so visitors to Shepherds Lane will not need to relocate. Furthermore, visitor parking permits are being made available all properties within the zone and so a need for visitors to relocate to outside any zone is not foreseen.</p>	<p>Proceed as advertised</p>
<p>Mrs [REDACTED] [REDACTED] Mareshall Avenue Winkfield</p>	<p>Mrs [REDACTED] objects to parking restrictions being introduced in Crowthorne Road North and Old Bracknell Lane East. Mrs [REDACTED] uses the parking available here to park for the station. There is inadequate public transport provision for her to travel to the station by other means and the cost of the station car park amounts to £1000 per year and she feels that this is likely to increase further in future.</p> <p>Mrs [REDACTED] states it was a key part of her decision regarding the affordability to work in London that she would be able to park for free close to the station. She does not think that residents use the spaces used by commuters in these streets because they are always free when arriving early in the morning. If they were in demand by residents she believes most of them would still have cars in them at that time in the morning.</p> <p>Mrs [REDACTED] believes that if parking permits MUST be introduced here to manage increasing demand when the regeneration is complete then there should be an option for a limited number of commuters to buy one at a more reasonable cost than the station car park.</p>	<p>The public highway is not guaranteed as a parking place and should not be relied upon as such. There are numerous car parks within the town centre which would enable station parking.</p>	<p>Proceed as advertised</p>

Local Member Comments on Consultation responses:

See Annexes H – K for member responses to objection responses.